

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Explanation of Modified Final EIR

The City of Auburn General Plan Final EIR as certified on November 29, 1993 consists of:

Draft EIR April, 1993 Final EIR August 20, 1993 Addendum to Final EIR November 23, 1993

This Modified Final EIR compiles various revisions made to the Draft EIR within the Final EIR and Addendum as well as references to relevant Findings and Statements of Overriding Consideration.

The intent is to create a document which will be user friendly as a reference for future environmental review of individual projects an programs within the City.

* * * * * *

Modified Final EIR

CITY OF AUBURN
GENERAL PLAN / REZONE
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
State Clearing House No. 92042025

Final EIR Certified: November 29, 1993 Modified Final EIR Prepared: February, 1994

Prepared by:

Planning Concepts

Lead Planners:

Greg DeYoung and Laurie Oberholtzer

Planning Associate: Landscape Architect: Draftsperson/Assist: Dave Vintze Scott Doss Cindy Barry

309 Commercial St, Nevada City, Ca 95959 916/265-8068

Assisted by:

City of Auburn Planning Department			
Conservation & Open Space	Noise		
Placer County Resource Conservation District	Brown-Buntin & Assoc. Consultants		
Public Education	Public Facilities		
Placer County Office of Education	(Police, Fire, Water, Sewer) QUAD Consultants		

Table of Contents

2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION 2-1 3. SUMMARY Significant Impacts which are Mitigatable (Plan alone Significant Cumulative Impacts which are Mitigatable 3-2 Unavoidable Significant Impacts 3-3 Unavoidable Significant Cumulative Impacts 3-4 Relationship to Plans and Regulations 3-4 Growth Inducement 3-6 Issues to be Resolved 3-6 Alternatives Summary 3-6 Relative Impact of Alternatives — Conclusion 3-11 Mitigation Monitoring 3-11 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS 4. Land Use 4-1 Setting Plan vs Proposed Plan 4-5 County Plan vs City Plan 4-6 Impact Evaluation Criteria 4-6 Impacts 4-6 Mitigation Measures 4-7 Impacts 4-6 Mitigation Measures 5-15 Mitigation Measures 5-15 Mitigation Measures 5-15 Mitigation Measures 5-15 Mitigation Measures 6-28 7. Hydrology / Water Quality Setting 7-1 Impacts 6-28 Nitigation Measures 7-3 Mitigation Measures 7-3 Mitigation Measures 7-3 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 9-1 Impacts 9-1 Impac	1.	INTRO	ODUCTION	1-1
Significant Impacts which are Mitigatable (Plan alone Significant Cumulative Impacts which are Mitigatable 3-2 Unavoidable Significant Impacts 3-3 Unavoidable Significant Cumulative Impacts 3-4 Relationship to Plans and Regulations 3-4 Growth Inducement 3-6 Issues to be Resolved 3-6 Alternatives Summary 3-6 Relative Impact of Alternatives — Conclusion 3-11 Mitigation Monitoring 3-11 Mitigation Monitoring 3-11 Mitigation Monitoring 4-1 Existing Plan vs Proposed Plan 4-5 County Plan vs City Plan 4-6 Impact Evaluation Criteria 4-6 Impacts 4-6 Mitigation Measures 4-44 Stiting Setting 5-1 Impacts 5-6 Mitigation Measures 5-15 Geology / Landforms 5-15 Mitigation Measures 6-25 Mitigation Measures 7-15 Mitigation Measure	2.	PROJ	JECT DESCRIPTION	2-1
Significant Cumulative Impacts which are Mitigatable Unavoidable Significant Impacts 3-2 Unavoidable Significant Impacts 3-4 Relationship to Plans and Regulations 3-4 Growth Inducement 3-6 Issues to be Resolved 3-6 Alternatives Summary 3-8 Relative Impact of Alternatives — Conclusion 3-11 Mitigation Monitoring 3-11 Mitigation Monitoring 3-11 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS 4. Land Use 4-1 Existing Plan vs Proposed Plan 4-5 County Plan vs City Plan 4-6 Impact Evaluation Criteria 4-6 Impacts 4-6 Mitigation Measures 4-44 5. Visual Setting 5-1 Impacts 5-6 Mitigation Measures 5-15 6. Geology / Landforms 5-15 6. Geology / Landforms 5-15 7. Hydrology / Water Quality Setting 7-1 Impacts 7-15 Mitigation Measures 7-31 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitiga	3.	SUMI	MARY	
Unavoidable Significant Impacts Unavoidable Significant Cumulative Impacts 3-4 Relationship to Plans and Regulations 3-4 Growth Inducement 3-6 Issues to be Resolved 3-6 Alternatives Summary 3-6 Relative Impact of Alternatives – Conclusion 3-11 Mitigation Monitoring 3-11 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS 4. Land Use 4-1 Setting 4-1 Existing Plan vs Proposed Plan County Plan vs City Plan Impact Evaluation Criteria Impacts 4-6 Mitigation Measures 4-7 Mitigation Measures 4-8 Mitigation Measures 4-9 Mitigation Measures 4-1 Mitigation Measures 4-2 Mitigation Measures 4-3 Mitigation Measures 4-4 Mitigation Measures 4-5 Mitigation Measures 4-6 Mitigation Measures 4-7 Mitigation Measures 4-8 Mitigation Measures 4-9 Mitigation Measures 4-1 Mitigation Measures				
Unavoidable Significant Cumulative Impacts Relationship to Plans and Regulations 3-4 Growth Inducement 3-6 Issues to be Resolved Alternatives Summary Relative Impact of Alternatives – Conclusion Mitigation Monitoring 3-11 Mitigation Monitoring 3-11 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS 4. Land Use Setting Setting Plan vs Proposed Plan Existing Plan vs City Plan Impact Evaluation Criteria Impact Evaluation Criteria Impacts Mitigation Measures 4-4 5. Visual Setting Seting Setting Setting Seting				
Relationship to Plans and Regulations 3-4			Unavoidable Significant Impacts	
Growth Inducement 3-6 Issues to be Resolved 3-6 Alternatives Summary 3-6 Relative Impact of Alternatives - Conclusion 3-11 Mitigation Monitoring 3-11 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS 4. Land Use 4-1 Setting 4-1 Existing Plan vs Proposed Plan 4-5 County Plan vs City Plan 4-6 Impact Evaluation Criteria 4-6 Impacts 4-6 Mitigation Measures 4-44 5. Visual 5-1 Impacts 5-6 Mitigation Measures 5-15 6. Geology / Landforms 5-1 Impacts 6-11 Mitigation Measures 6-28 7. Hydrology / Water Quality 5-1 Mitigation Measures 7-3 8. Biological Resources 5-15 Mitigation Measures 8-14 Mitigation Measures 8-16 Mitigation Measu				
Issues to be Resolved				
Alternatives Summary Relative Impact of Alternatives – Conclusion 3-11 Mitigation Monitoring 3-11 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS 4. Land Use Setting Setting County Plan vs Proposed Plan Impact Evaluation Criteria Impacts Mitigation Measures 4-6 Mitigation Measures 4-6 Mitigation Measures 5-1 Impacts Setting Setting Setting Setting Impacts Geology / Landforms Setting Impacts Mitigation Measures 6-11 Mitigation Measures 7-13 Mitigation Measures 8-28 8-14 Mitigation Measures 8-14 Mitigation Measures 8-14 Mitigation Measures 8-14 Mitigation Measures 9-1 Archaeology/Cultural Resources Setting Impacts Setting				
Relative Impact of Alternatives - Conclusion Mitigation Monitoring Mitigation Monitoring Mitigation Monitoring Mitigation Monitoring Mitigation Monitoring Mitigation Measures Mitigat			Issues to be Resolved	
Relative Impact of Alternatives - Conclusion 3-11 Mitigation Monitoring 3-11 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS			Alternatives Summary	3-6
Mitigation Monitoring 3-11 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS 4. Land Use 4-1 Setting 4-1 Existing Plan vs Proposed Plan 4-5 County Plan vs City Plan 4-6 Impact Evaluation Criteria 4-6 Impacts 4-6 Mitigation Measures 5-1 5. Visual 5-1 Setting 5-1 Impacts 5-6 Mitigation Measures 5-15 6. Geology / Landforms 6-1 Setting 6-1 Impacts 6-28 7. Hydrology / Water Quality 7-1 Impacts 7-3 8. Biological Resources 8-12 Setting 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-24 9. Archaeology/Cultural Resources 8-24 Setting 9-1 Impacts 9-4 Impacts 9-4			Relative Impact of Alternatives - Conclusion	3-11
### ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS 4. Land Use				3-11
4. Land Use 4-1 Setting 4-1 Existing Plan vs Proposed Plan 4-5 County Plan vs City Plan 4-6 Impact Evaluation Criteria 4-6 Impacts 4-6 Mitigation Measures 4-44 5. Visual 5-1 Impacts 5-6 Mitigation Measures 5-15 6. Geology / Landforms 6-1 Setting 6-1 Impacts 6-11 Mitigation Measures 6-28 7. Hydrology / Water Quality 7-1 Impacts 7-13 Mitigation Measures 7-31 8. Biological Resources 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 9-1				
Setting 4-1 Existing Plan vs Proposed Plan 4-5 County Plan vs City Plan 4-6 Impact Evaluation Criteria 4-6 Impacts 4-6 Mitigation Measures 4-44 S. Visual 5-1 Impacts 5-1 Impacts 5-15 Geology / Landforms 5-15 Impacts 6-11 Impacts 6-11 Mitigation Measures 6-28 Hydrology / Water Quality 7-1 Impacts 7-13 Mitigation Measures 7-31 Mitigation Measures 7-31 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-24 Setting 8-10 Impacts 8-11 Mitigation Measures 8-24 Mitigation Measures 8-24 Mitigation Measures 8-24 Mitigation Measures 9-1 Impacts 9-1	ENV	IRONI	MENTAL ANALYSIS	
Setting 4-1 Existing Plan vs Proposed Plan 4-5 County Plan vs City Plan 4-6 Impact Evaluation Criteria 4-6 Impacts 4-6 Mitigation Measures 4-44 S. Visual 5-1 Impacts 5-1 Impacts 5-15 Geology / Landforms 5-15 Impacts 6-11 Impacts 6-11 Mitigation Measures 6-28 Hydrology / Water Quality 7-1 Impacts 7-13 Mitigation Measures 7-31 Mitigation Measures 7-31 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-24 Setting 8-10 Impacts 8-11 Mitigation Measures 8-24 Mitigation Measures 8-24 Mitigation Measures 8-24 Mitigation Measures 9-1 Impacts 9-1		4	l and lise	4-1
Existing Plan vs Proposed Plan 4-5 County Plan vs City Plan 4-6 Impact Evaluation Criteria 4-6 Impacts 4-6 Mitigation Measures 4-44 5. Visual 5-1 Impacts 5-6 Mitigation Measures 5-15 6. Geology / Landforms 5-1 Impacts 6-11 Mitigation Measures 6-11 Mitigation Measures 7-13 Mitigation Measures 7-13 Mitigation Measures 7-13 Mitigation Measures 7-31 8. Biological Resources 5-12 Mitigation Measures 7-31 8. Biological Resources 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-24 9. Archaeology/Cultural Resources 5-8 Setting 9-1 Impacts 9-1		т.		
County Plan vs City Plan			Eviating Plan vs Proposed Plan	
Impact Evaluation Criteria				
Impacts			County Plan vs City Plan	
Mitigation Measures 4-44 5. Visual 5-1 Setting 5-6 Mitigation Measures 5-15 6. Geology / Landforms 6-1 Setting 6-1 Impacts 6-11 Mitigation Measures 6-28 7. Hydrology / Water Quality 7-1 Impacts 7-31 Mitigation Measures 7-31 8. Biological Resources 8-1 Setting 8-1 Impacts 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-24 9. Archaeology/Cultural Resources 9-1 Setting 9-1 Impacts 9-1 Impacts 9-1 Mitigation Measures 9-1 Mitigation Measures 9-1 Mitigation Measures 9-1 Mitigation Measures 9-1				
5. Visual Setting Set			· ·	
Setting 5-1 Impacts 5-6 Mitigation Measures 5-15 6. Geology / Landforms Setting 6-1 Impacts 6-11 Mitigation Measures 6-28 7. Hydrology / Water Quality Setting 7-1 Impacts 7-13 Mitigation Measures 7-31 Mitigation Measures 7-31 8. Biological Resources Setting 8-1 Impacts 8-1 Impacts 8-1 Impacts 8-1 Impacts 9-1				4-44
Impacts Mitigation Measures 6. Geology / Landforms Setting Setting Impacts Mitigation Measures 7. Hydrology / Water Quality Setting Setting Total Mitigation Measures 7. Impacts Mitigation Measures 8. Biological Resources Setting Impacts Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 9. Archaeology/Cultural Resources Setting Impacts Setting 9-1 Impacts 9-1 Impacts 9-1 Impacts 9-1 Impacts 9-1		5.		
Mitigation Measures 5-15 6. Geology / Landforms Setting 6-1 Impacts 6-11 Mitigation Measures 6-28 7. Hydrology / Water Quality Setting 7-13 Impacts 7-13 Mitigation Measures 7-31 8. Biological Resources Setting 8-12 Impacts 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-24 9. Archaeology/Cultural Resources Setting 9-1 Impacts 9-1 Impacts 9-1				
6. Geology / Landforms Setting				_
6. Geology / Landforms Setting			Mitigation Measures	5-15
Setting 6-1 Impacts 6-11 Mitigation Measures 6-28 7. Hydrology / Water Quality 7-1 Setting 7-13 Impacts 7-31 8. Biological Resources 8-1 Setting 8-1 Impacts 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-24 9. Archaeology/Cultural Resources 9-1 Impacts 9-1 Impacts 9-1 Impacts 9-1		· 6.	Geology / Landforms	
Impacts 6-11 Mitigation Measures 6-28 7. Hydrology / Water Quality Setting 7-1 Impacts 7-13 Mitigation Measures 7-31 8. Biological Resources Setting 8-1 Impacts 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-24 9. Archaeology/Cultural Resources Setting 9- Impacts 9-		•		6-1
Mitigation Measures 6-28 7. Hydrology / Water Quality Setting 7-1 Impacts 7-13 Mitigation Measures 7-31 8. Biological Resources Setting 8-12 Impacts 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-24 9. Archaeology/Cultural Resources Setting 9- Impacts 9- Impacts 9- Impacts 9- Impacts 9-				
7. Hydrology / Water Quality Setting			•	6-28
Setting 7-1 Impacts 7-13 Mitigation Measures 7-31 8. Biological Resources 8-1 Setting 8-1 Impacts 8-12 Mitigation Measures 8-24 9. Archaeology/Cultural Resources 9-1 Impacts 9-1 Impacts 9-1		7		
Impacts		1.	• • •	7-1
Mitigation Measures				
8. Biological Resources Setting Settin				
Setting		_		1-5
Impacts		8.		0.
Mitigation Measures				
9. Archaeology/Cultural Resources			Impacts	
9. Archaeology/Cultural Resources			Mitigation Measures	8-24
Impacts		9.	. Archaeology/Cultural Resources	
Impacts			Setting	_
				9-6
			Mitigation Measures	9-13

Table of Contents

ENVIRONMENTAL	. ANALYSIS	(Continued)
---------------	------------	-------------

10.	Traffic					•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	Traffic Setting .						•			•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10-1
	Impacts													•	•	•	•	•	•	10-30
	Mitigation	Me	ası	ure	S	•		•	.•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10-49
11.	Air Quality		•.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	44.4
	Setting .	•				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11-1
	Impacts							•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	11-10
	Mitigation	n Me	as	ure	es	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• .	11-14
12.	Noise																			404
	Setting .																			12-1
	Impacts	•					•				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12-47
	Mitigation	n Me	as	ure	es		•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12-57
13.	Public Facili	ities	an	d S	Ser	vic	es							•	•	•	•	•	•	
	Schools											•						•	•	13-2
	Parks an	d R	ecr	ea	tio	n							•			•			•	13-14
	Telephor																			12-19
	Gas and																			13-20
	Solid Wa																			13-31
	Sewage																			13-34
	Police P																			13-45
	Water S																			13-48
	Fire Pro		-										•				•			13-55
	FIIG FIO		J: 1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	

- 14. SHORT-TERM USES VS LONG TERM PRODUCTIVITY IRREVERSIBLE ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE
- 15. ALTERNATIVES
- 16. SOURCES AND AGENCIES CONTACTED

APPENDICES

Notice of Preparation Comments Land Use Map Calculations City and County City of Auburn General Plan Alternatives Analysis Worksheet

ADDITIONAL FINAL EIR DISCUSSION: Individual Properties

GENERAL PLAN FINDINGS

List of Tables

Table 1-1	City of Auburn General Plan Assumptions	4
Table 2-1	Proposed Rezoning General Plan/Zoning	2-5
Table 2-2	Consistency Chart	_
	City of Auburn General Plan	2-7
Table 2-3	Densities and Intensities for Land Use Designations	2-7
Table 2-4	Land Use Plan Summary	2-8
	City of Auburn General Plan	2-0
3. Summary		
o. Commony		
Table 3-1	Land Use Implementation Regulations	3-5
Table 3-2	No Project/No Development Alternative Characteristics	3-7
Table 3-3	No Project/Existing Plan Alternative Characteristics	3-7
Table 3-4	Alternative #1 Characteristics	3-8
Table 3-5	Alternative #2 Characteristics	3-8
Table 3-6	Alternative #3 Characteristics	3-9
Table 3-7	Alternative #4 Mitigated Design Characteristics	3-9
Table 3-8	Alternative #5 - No additions to	
	Sphere of Influence Characteristics	3-10
Table 3-9	Alternative #6 - County Land Use Designations	
	in Proposed Sphere of Influence Characteristics	3-10
Table 3-10	Land Use Plan Alternatives Summary —	
	Significant, Unmitigatable Impacts	3-11
Table 3-11	Summary - Impact/Mitigation Measures	3-12
4. Land Use		
Table 4-1	Auburn/Bowman Existing Land Use	4-1
Table 4-2	Planning Area Size	4-5
Table 4-3	Population: Proposed General Plan	
	Compared to Existing General Plan	4-5
Table 4-4	Population: Within Proposed Sphere of Influence	
	Proposed County Plan vs Proposed City Plan	4-6
Table 4-5	Potential Suburbanization and Urbanization	4-7
Table 4-6	Potential Jobs/Housing Ratio	
	at Buildout Existing City Limits	4-8
Table 4-7	Potential Jobs/Housing Ratio at Buildout	
	Proposed Sphere of Influence	4-8
Table 4-8	Potential Jobs/Housing Rate at Buildout	4.0
	City Limits + Proposed Sphere of Influence	4-9
Table 4-9	Total Potential Units by Land Use Pattern	
	Fxisting City Limits	4-11

Land Use	(Cont.)
----------	---------

Table 4-10	Potential New Units by Land Use Pattern	
	Sphere of Influence Only	4-11
Table 4-11	Potential New Units by Land Use Pattern	4.40
	Proposed Sphere and City Limits Combined	4-12
Table 4-12	Fair Share Projection by Income Level - City of Auburn	4-12
Table 4-13	Fair Share Projection by Income Level	4-13
	Unincorporated Placer County	4-13
Table 4-14	Auburn General Plan Housing Element	4-14
	Related Policies and Programs	4-14
Table 4-15	Potential Total Units by Land Use Pattern	
	City Limits, City Sphere and Auburn/Bowman	4-17
	Area Outside Sphere	4-17
Table 4-16	Auburn General Plan Open Space Element	4-18
	Mineral Resource Protection Goals/Policies	4.0
Table 4-17	Auburn General Plan Land Use Element	4-21
	Agricultural Protection Goals/Policies	4-22
Table 4-18	Specific Soil Types within Plan Area Soil Series	
Table 4-19	Related Goals, Policies, and Implementation Measures	4-36
	Helated Goals, Policies, and Implementation Weasures.	7 00
Table 4-20	Auburn General Plan Land Use Element Related Annexation Goals, Policies, Implementation Measures	4-43
	Helated Annexation Goals, Policies, Implementation Modes of	
5. Visual Res	sources	
Table 5-1	Major Visual Features City of Auburn	
	Scenic Corridors and Heavily Travelled Roadways	5-2
Table 5-2	Degree of Visual Change Tolerable by Viewers	5-2
Table 5-3	Auburn General Plan Relevant Visual	
	Goals, Policies, Implementation Measures	5-3
Table 5-4	Urbanization at Plan Buildout	5-7
6. Geology		
Table C4	Auburn General Plan Geology/Landform Related	
Table 6-1	Goals, Policies, Implementation Measures	6-12
Table CO	Estimated Grading Impacts for Large-Footprint	
Table 6-2	Structures at Various Slopes	6-18
T-1-1- C 0	Plan Area Cut/Fill Areas of Concern	6-19
Table 6-3	Estimated Grading Impact Area on Lots of Various Slopes	6-20
Table 6-4	Plan Area Residential Grading Areas of Concern	6-21
Table 6-5	Additional Areas of Erosion Potential Concern	6-25
Table 6-6	Slope Related Recommended Policy Revisions	6-28
Table 6-7	Slope/Grading Related Mitigation Measure 1f	
Table 6-8	Recommended Land Use Map Revision	6-29

7.	Hydrology
----	-----------

Table 7-1	Auburn Area General Plan Hydrology Related Goals, Policies, Implementation Measures	7-11
Table 7-2	Draft Auburn General Plan Flood Control Related	
	Goals, Policies, Implementation Measures	7-14
Table 7-3	Bridge and Culvert Overtopping Locations	
	Dairy Road Watershed, City of Auburn	7-15
Table 7-4	Bridge and Culvert Overtopping Locations in the Sphere	
	of Influence Reported	
	in the Auburn/Bowman Community Plan EiR	7-16
Table 7-5	Problem Access Roads in Sphere of Influence	7-20
Table 7-6	Average Flow-weighted Concentrations of Pollutants	
	from Metropolitan Washington NURP Study (1980-81)	7-24
Table 7-7	Draft Auburn General Plan Water Quality Related	
	Goals, Policies, Implementation Measures	7-26
Table 7-8	Potential Best Management Practices (BMPs) for	
	The Auburn/Bowman Area	7-33
8. Biological	Resources	
Table 8-1	Sensitive Pants of the General Auburn Region	8-6
Table 8-2	California Department of Fish and Game	
	Natural Heritage Div. Natural Diversity Data Base	8-7
Table 8-3	Endangered, Threatened and Special Animal Species	
	Auburn/Bowman Community Plan Area	8-8
Table 8-4	California Department of Fish and Game	
	Natural Diversity Data Base	8-9
Table 8-5	Auburn General Plan Area	
	Wildlife Species Descriptions	8-10
Table 8-6	Auburn Generai Plan	
	Goals and Policies Related to Vegetation	8-13
Table 8-7	Remaining Oak Woodlands within City Limits	8-13
Table 8-8	Existing Major Oak Woodland Areas	
	Within Proposed Sphere of Influence	8-17
Table 8-9	City of Auburn General Plan	
	Goals, Policies, Implementation Measures Related to	
	Wetlands/Riparian Areas	8-19
Table 8-10	City of Auburn General Plan	
	Goals, Policies, Implementation Measures Related to	
	Special Plant Communities	8-20
Table 8-11	City of Auburn General Plan	
	Goals, Policies, Implementation Measures Related to	
	Special Status Animal Species	8-2 ⁻
Table 8-12	City of Auburn General Plan	
	Goals and Policies Related to Fish and Wildlife	8-22

9. Cultural Res	cources	•
Table 9-1	Auburn General Plan Historic Element Development Guidelines	9-7
Table 9-2	Auburn General Plan	
Table 5-2	Cultural Areas of Concern	9-11
Table 9-3	Auburn General Plan Relevant Historic Element Policies/Implementation Measures .	9-13
Table 9-4	Sites to be Specifically Protected and	•
Table 3-4	Included within Future Development	9-14
10. Traffic Sec	etion	
Table 10-1	Park and Ride Statistics, 1990	10-21
Table 10-2	Placer County Bike Route Classifications	10-29
Table 10-3	Street System Daily Level of Service Criteria	10-30
Table 10-4	City of Auburn General Plan Relevant	
	Traffic Element Goals, Policies, Implementation Measures	10-35
Table 10-5	Signalized intersection Capacity Analysis Summary	
, 4.5.0	Proposed Auburn/Bowman Community Plan: Full buildout .	10-42
Table 10-6	Impact Avoidance Strategies	10-43
Table 10-7	Capital Improvement Project - Initial Identification of Impacts .	10-45
Table 10-8	TIRE Index	10-47
Table 10-9	Auburn General Plan	
	Relevant Transit Goals and Policies	10-48
Table 10-10	Auburn General Plan	
	Relevant Airport Transit Goals and Policies	10-48
11. Air Quality	/	
Table 11-1	Ambient Air Quality Standards	11-3
Table 11-2	Ozone Data Rocklin- Sierra College	11-6
Table 11-3	Ozone Data - Auburn-Dewitt Center	11-7
Table 11-4	Ozone Data - Colfax-Church Street	11-7
Table 11-5	Ozone Data - Blue Canyon	11-8
Table 11-6	PM-10 Data	11-9
Table 11-7	Typical Estimated Construction Equipment Emissions	11-10
Table 11-8	Estimated Plan Buildout Auto Emissions	11-12
Table 11-9	Wood Heater Particulate Emission Limits	11-13
Table 11-10	Policies Recommended in the	
, 4510	Placer County 1991 Air Quality Attainment Plan	11-14
Table 11-11	Additional Policies Recommended in this EIR	11-16
12. Noise	•	
Table 12-1	Comparison of FHWA Model to Measured Noise Levels	12-2
Table 12-2	Distance from Center of Roadway to 60 dB Ldn Contours (ft) .	12-7
Table 12-3	Traffic Noise Adjustments for Various Topographic Conditions	12-17

Noise (Cont.)		
Table 12-4 Table 12-5	Railroad Noise Measurement Results	12-23
	Rock Creek mph June 27-30, 1991	12-29
Table 12-6	Summary of Measured Noise Levels and Estimated Day-Night Av	erage
	Levels in Areas Containing Noise Sensitive Land Uses	12-38
Table 12-7	Areas of Potential Impacts to Sensitive Receptors In City Limits	12-48
Table 12-8	Areas of Potential Impacts to Sensitive	
	Receptors in the City's Existing Sphere of Influence	12-49
Table 12-9	Areas of Potential Impacts to Sensitive	10.40
	Receptors in Proposed Additions to Sphere of Influence	12-49
Table 12-10	City of Auburn General Plan	12-50
T-blo 40 44	Noise Impact Related Policies	12-50
Table 12-11	Approximate Distance to Railroad Noise Contours City of Auburn General Plan	12-52
Table 12-12	City of Auburn General Plan	. 2 02
1able 12-12	Policies Related to Stationary Noise Sources	12-53
	Tolloles Helated to Statistically Holos Statistics	
13. Public Fac	ilities	
Table 13-1	Potential Student Generation from	13-2
	City and Proposed Sphere of Influence Buildout	13-2
Table 13-2	Existing School Facilities in City of Auburn General Plan Area Auburn Union School District Capacity	13-3
Table 13-3	Auburn Union School District Capacity	13-4
Table 13-4	Ackerman Elementary School District Capacity	13-4
Table 13-5	Ackerman Elementary School District Classrooms	13-4
Table 13-6 Table 13-7	Placer Union High School District Enrollment	13-5
Table 13-7	City of Auburn General Plan	
Table 13-6	School Financing Plan Related Policies	13-10
Table 13-9	Placer Hills Union School District Projected Enrollment	13-11
Table 13-10	Park Sites Shown on Land Use Map	13-17
Table 13-11	EMF Related Requirements	13-24
Table 13-12	Magnetic Field (mG)	13-25
Table 13-13	Recommended Goals for New Electrical	
10010 10 10	Facility Planning, Siting, and Construction	13-30
Table 13-14	Auburn Wastewater Treatment Plan Staging Plan	13-37
Table 13-15	PCWA Hook-up Charge and Water Use Rate Trend	13-53
Table 13-16	Placer Foothills Consolidated	

15. Alternatives

Table 15-1	City of Auburn General Plan Alternatives Analysis	15-3
Table 15-2	Key Areas of Differing Land Use Designations	15-5
Table 15-3	Auburn Area General Plan Alternatives Analysis	
10510 100	Areas and Percentages of Urbanized Land Uses	15-11
Table 15-4	No Project/No Development Alternative Characteristics	15-12
Table 15-5	City of Auburn Alternatives Air Quality Impact Comparison	15-14
Table 15-6	No Project/Existing Plan Alternative Characteristics	15-15
Table 15-7	Examples of Existing Plan and Proposed Plan	
table to t	Lower Land Use Designation Densities	15-24
Table 15-8	Alternatives Comparison:	
Table 100	Acreages of Intensive Urban Uses	15-25
Table 15-9	Land Use Densities in Areas of Notable Oak Woodlands	
Table 10-5	Evicting Plan vs Proposed Plan	15-26
Table 15-10	Comparative Trip Generation and Vehicle Hours of Delay	15-27
Table 15-11	Alternative #1 Characteristics	15-28
Table 15-12	Land Use Densities in Areas of Notable Oak Woodlands	
lable 10-12	Alternative #1 Scenario vs Proposed Plan	15-38
Table 15-13	Comparative Trip Generation and Vehicle Hours of Delay	15-39
Table 15-14	Alternative #2 Characteristics	15-40
Table 15-15	Alternative #2 Characteristics	
Table 10-10	Lower Land Use Designation Densities	15-41
Table 15-16	Land Use Densities in Areas of Notable Oak Woodlands	
Table 13-10	Alternative #2 vs Proposed Plan	15-43
Table 15-17	Comparative Trip Generation and Vehicle Hours of Delay	15-44
Table 15-17	Alternative #3 Characteristics	15-45
Table 15-16	Land Use Densities in Areas of Notable Oak Woodlands	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Table 15-19	Alternative #3 vs Proposed Plan	15-47
Table 45 00	Comparative Trip Generation and Vehicle Hours of Delay	•
Table 15-20	Examples of Alternative #4 and Proposed Plan	
Table 15-21	Lower Land Use Designation Densities	15-54
T-bl- 45 00	Land Use Densities in Areas of Notable Oak Woodlands	
Table 15-22	Alternative #4 vs Proposed Plan	15-55
T-1-1- 45 00	Compositive Trip Congression and Vehicle Hours of Delay	
Table 15-23	Comparative Trip Generation and Vehicle Hours of Delay	10.00
Table 15-24	Alternative #5 - No Additions to Sphere	15-57
	of Influence Characteristics	
Table 15-25	High Intensity Land Uses Compared	10-08
Table 15-26	Alternative #6 - County Land Use Designations	15-61
	In Proposed Sphere of Influence Characteristics	10-01

List of Figures

2. PROJECI L		
FIGURE 2-1	PROPOSED LAND USE PLAN	2-3
4. LAND USE		
FIGURE 4-1 FIGURE 4-2 FIGURE 4-3	AUBURN AREA (Aerial)	4-3 4-19
FIGURE 4-4 FIGURE 4-5	TIMBER PRODUCTION	4-23 4-37
FIGURE 4-6	UNDER PROPOSED PLAN COMPARED TO EXISTING PLAN . INCREASED DENSITIES WITHIN CITY LIMITS ONLY	4-41 4-42
5. VISUAL RE	SOURCES	
FIGURE 5-1	VIEWSHEDS	5-13
6. GEOLOGY	/ LANDFORMS	
FIGURE 6-1 FIGURE 6-2 FIGURE 6-3	SOILS MAP	
	NUMERICALLY REFERENCED PLAN AREAS	6-23
7. HYDROLO	OGY SECTION	
FIGURE 7-1	DRAINAGE BASINS ADDRESSED AREA HYDROLOGY STUDIES	7-3
FIGURE 7-2	EXISTING AND POTENTIAL FLOODING IDENTIFIED IN THREE AREA HYDROLOGY STUDIES	
8. BIOTIC RI	ESOURCES	
FIGURE 8-1		8-3
FIGURE 8-2	AREAS OF POTENTIAL LAND USE/ VEGETATION CONFLICT	8-15

List of Figures (cont.)

9. CULTURAL F	RESOURCES	
FIGURE 9-1	CITY OF AUBURN GENERAL PLAN HISTORIC AREAS	9-9
10. TRAFFIC / C	CIRCULATION	
FIGURE 10-1	CITY OF AUBURN PLAN EXISTING STREET FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION	10-3
FIGURE 10-2	CITY OF AUBURN GENERAL PLAN	10-7
FIGURE 10-3	CITY OF AURURN GENERAL PLAN	
	1990 AVERAGE DAILY LEVEL OF TRAFFIC	10-9
FIGURE 10-4	CITY OF AUBURN GENERAL PLAN	
	1990 PEAK HOUR LEVEL OF SERVICE FOR SELECTED INTERSECTIONS	10-11
E10110E 40 E	CITY OF AUBURN GENERAL PLAN TRUCK ROUTES	10-15
FIGURE 10-5 FIGURE 10-6	CITY OF AUBURN GENERAL PLAN	
FIGURE 10-0	TRANSIT SERVICE IN THE AUBURN AREA	10-19
FIGURE 10-7	CITY OF ALIBURN GENERAL PLAN	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	EXISTING RAIL FACILITIES	10-23
FIGURE 10-8	CITY OF AUBURN GENERAL PLAN	40.07
	AUBURN AIRPORT/INDUSTRIAL PARK	10-27
FIGURE 10-9	BUILDOUT LEVEL DEMAND FORECASTS &	
	LEVELS OF SERVICE WITH AND WITHOUT PROPOSED PROPOSED GENERAL PLAN IMPROVEMENTS	10-33
EIGUDE 10-10	CITY/COUNTY TRAFFIC ESTIMATES COMPARISON	10-37
FIGURE 10-10	ALTERNATIVE COMPARISON: BUILDOUT TRAVEL DEMAND	
, radrie 10 11	FORECASTS & LEVEL OF SERVICE WITH AND	
	WITHOUT PROPOSED GENERAL PLAN IMPROVEMENTS	10-39
12. NOISE		
FIGURE 10.1	TRAFFIC NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS	12-5
FIGURE 12-1 FIGURE 12-2	TRAFFIC NOISE PREDICTION NOMOGRAPH	12-11
FIGURE 12-3	EXISTING (1988) TRAFFIC NOISE CONTOURS	12-13
FIGURE 12-4	FUTURE TRAFFIC NOISE CONTOURS	12-15
FIGURE 12-5	RAILROAD NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS	12-19
FIGURE 12-6	RAILROAD NOISE MEASUREMENT RESULTS	12-21 12-25
FIGURE 12-7		12-25
FIGURE 12-8		12-33
FIGURE 12-9	FUTURE AIRPORT NOISE CONTOURS	12-35
FIGURE 12-10	1 COMMUNITY NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS	12-41
FIGURE 12-1	2 COMMUNITY 24 HOUR NOISE MEASUREMENT RESULTS .	12-43
FIGURE 12-1	3 LAND USE COMPATIBILITY FOR	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	COMMUNITY NOISE ENVIRONMENTS	12-45

List of Figures (cont.)

13. PUBLIC	FACILITIES
------------	------------

FIGURE 13-2 LOCATION OF MAJOR ELECTRICAL AND NATURAL GAS LINES			
NATURAL GAS LINES	FIGURE 13-1		13-15
NATURAL GAS LINES	FIGURE 13-2	LOCATION OF MAJOR ELECTRICAL AND	
FIGURE 13-3 WASTEWATER AGENCY FACILITIES			13-27
FIGURE 13-4 AREAS NEEDING COMMUNITY SEWER SERVICE 13-41 FIGURE 13-5 WATER SERVICE AREAS	FIGURE 13-3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13-35
FIGURE 13-5 WATER SERVICE AREAS		AREAS NEEDING COMMUNITY SEWER SERVICE	13-41
FIGURE 13-6 FIRE PROTECTION AREAS			13-49
FIGURE 13-7 FIRE HAZARDS MAP			13-57
FIGURE 15-1 MAP INDEX TO ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS TABLE 15-1			13-65
FIGURE 15-1 MAP INDEX TO ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS TABLE 15-1	FIGURE 13-7	FIRE HAZARDS WAR	
FIGURE 15-2 EXISTING AUBURN AREA GENERAL PLAN	15. ALTERN	IATIVES	
FIGURE 15-2 EXISTING AUBURN AREA GENERAL PLAN	FIGURE 15-1	MAP INDEX TO ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS TABLE 15-1	15-1
FIGURE 15-3 EXISTING BOWMAN AREA GENERAL PLAN			15-17
FIGURE 15-4 EXISTING OPHIR AREA GENERAL PLAN		— we to the end of the	15-19
FIGURE 15-5 ALTERNATIVE 1 MAP			
FIGURE 15-6 ALTERNATIVE 2 MAP	•		
FIGURE 15-7 ALTERNATIVE 3 MAP		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
FIGURE 15-8 ALTERNATIVE 4 MAP	FIGURE 15-6	ALTERNATIVE 2 MAP	
I Idolle 10-0 Actemative 4 and	FIGURE 15-7	ALTERNATIVE 3 MAP	15-33
	FIGURE 15-8	ALTERNATIVE 4 MAP	15-51
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	15-63